





here, as if he had not a right to his own views on other questions than that of slavery. (Applause.) Surely, if they were agreed on this question, they might unite in forwarding it, and agree to differ on others. (Hear, hear.) As to the Sabbath, he was not there to vindicate his views. He believed them to be Scriptural and Christian. They were those of Calvin, Luther and Melancthon; of Paley, and Penn, and Barclay, of Belsham and Priestley; and if, for holding them, he were an infidel, he was, at least, an infidel in company of which, he had no need to be ashamed. (Applause.) He held that a Christian man should not ask himself what hour it was in the day, or what day it was in the week, before he devoted himself to the service of his Lord, but that his whole life should be a Sabbath; and for holding that opinion, he was branded as an infidel. (Hear, hear.) Mr. Garrison observed that the anti-slavery cause was prospering in America—that its course was onward, and its success inevitable. He then came to the Oregon question—which, he said, had been grossly misunderstood in England. Its settlement had been hailed as a triumph of the peace principle. He was sorry to dissipate the delusion. How it came about was as follows: The slaveholders of the south, becoming uneasy at the increasing number of the free States by additions in the West, coveted Texas, to redress the balance of power. Mexico, having herself abolished slavery, refused to encourage it in others; by the Texas for division into slave States; and the slaveholders to compass their ends, began to excite a feeling in the west on the subject of Oregon. If the free States would go with them for Texas, they would go with the free States for Oregon. The lure succeeded. The free States were ready to give western prairie, to go to Texas for Texas; meaning that they would go to Texas for Texas; their eyes shut. The States of the west were equally ready to go to Oregon. But when their object was gained—which Texas was annexed—they changed their tone. It would be a pity, they said, to go to war with England for Oregon. (Laughter.) They no longer demanded the whole of Oregon or none. They lowered their claims, even from 34° to 36°. Anything rather than to war. The fact being that there was nothing the slaveholders dreaded so much as a war with anti-slavery England. (Applause.) The Oregon question was not settled amicably from the progress of the peace principle; but because, as he had shown, the southern States having attained their end, withdrew from their alliance with the States of the west. The slaveholders had nothing to gain by the acquisition of Oregon, but much to lose. From the nature of its soil and climate, Oregon must be free—it would not be a slave territory. Its annexation, therefore, was not desired, but deprecated; and peace with England, not war, became the cry of the slaveholders. England need look for no quarrel with America as free territory. If she would but let slavery alone, there would be no war. (Hear, hear.) Mr. Garrison showed that the supporters of slavery played fast and loose with free trade also, to serve their purposes. In America, the democratic party were pro-slavery men. The Whigs were divided on the question—being in some parts of the Union in favor of slavery, and opposed to it in others. Now the slaveholders had made use of the tariff to unite their ends. When they thought 'protection' strengthened the cause of slavery, they upheld it; when they thought free trade was calculated to weaken the free States, they were its champions. The people of England might depend upon it, that abolition was the measure that would best advance the cause of free commerce. The abolitionists had already carried the outposts, and were now laying siege to the citadel of the American Union. He had seen, in the last number of the *Golden Age* (Oct. 10) a quotation from the *Anti-Slavery Reporter*, which seemed to give a fair statement of the points of difference between the American Anti-Slavery Society and the American and Foreign Anti-Slavery Society. But although the *Reporter* told the truth, it did not tell the whole truth, and the suppression of a portion of the facts had all the effect of a falsehood. The policy pursued by the two societies was thus described:—The American and Foreign Anti-Slavery Society unite itself with the liberty party in the United States, which consists of abolitionists who feel it to be their duty to carry their principles to the ballot-box, and to return none to office, either in the State or Federal government, who do not agree with them in their principles. The American A. S. Society, on the other hand, avows its hostility to this mode of action. Now, continued Mr. Garrison, why did the American Anti-Slavery Society call attention to the ballot-box? The *Reporter* having kept the cause out of view, he would supply the omission. The abolitionists with whom he acted refrained from voting, because they could not consistently send to Congress men who must swear to maintain the constitution of the Union—a constitution which slavery was part and parcel of, and under which the fugitive slave from the South must be restored to his owner. To repeal this constitution, and establish a free confederacy, was the object of the American Anti-Slavery Society; and he rejoiced, as a member of that society, at the honorable and enthusiastic reception which, in common with his friend Mr. George Thompson, and other abolitionists, he had met from a crowded meeting of the inhabitants of Newcastle, with the Chief Magistrate of the borough at their head. Having the press as his ally, he could assure his friends that the proceedings of that great meeting would be made known in the *Boston Liberator*, all over the United States; and they would be eagerly read, alike by the friends and foes of emancipation. Mr. Garrison called attention to a protest against American Slavery, bearing the signatures of Christian ministers of England, of which a copy had been sent him since he came into that room. He saw among the names of several Newcastle ministers, but not that of his friend in the chair. Mr. Harris, he was sure, would gladly have signed it, if an opportunity had been offered him. But unhappily, there were abolitionists who would not unite with men who agreed with them on the question of slavery, if they differed from them on points of religion. It was this narrow spirit which helped to break up the union of the abolitionists in America. Men who could not speak the abhorrence of religion of their fellow-men were deemed unworthy of companionship in the anti-slavery cause; but, for him, there was nothing of a man's opinions on other subjects; if he were only willing to unite with him in seeking the emancipation of the slave, that was enough—he inquired not into his theological views. Mr. Harris and he might differ, and most probably did, on other points of religion, but he gave him the right hand of fellowship. (Applause.)

Mr. JAMES NEWCASTLE wished to say a word or two. The abolitionists of America were divided into two sections. One went to the ballot-box—the other did not. He must say, even after Mr. Garrison's explanation, that he could not see the wisdom of holding aloof. If slavery should ever be put down, it must be by an act of Congress; and if the opponents of slavery refused to elect representatives, how was their object to be accomplished? He thought they ought to go to the ballot-box, and vote under protest. He wished also to express his regret that Mr. Garrison had not introduced the Temperance question at the great meeting of last night. He came to this country as a delegate to the World's Temperance Convention, and, when slavery was the theme, he should not have overlooked the slavery of strong drink. Mr. GARRISON replied: The meeting of last night was convened for the consideration of negro slavery. He was present as a representative of the American Anti-Slavery Society. He could not, therefore, go into the Temperance question. To have done so would have been to commit the very error of which he and his friends in America were wrongly accused. On the present occasion, assembled at the social board, and in a Temperance Hotel, an allusion to the question of total abstinence was allowable; but he could not consistently have introduced it last night. (Applause.) As to the other matter referred to by his friend at the other end of the room, he must inform him that he was laboring under a wrong impression. Congress could not abolish slavery. Slavery came not within Congressional but State jurisdiction. For Congress to meddle with the question, would be to dissolve the Union. The American Anti-Slavery Society, if its members were to send representatives to Congress, would be committed to the support of that Union, and to a partnership with the crime of slaveholding. There was a time, when the States of the South were wont to crow over those of the North, and threaten them with a dissolution of the Union, if they tampered with the institution of slavery. But when the present agitation sprung up, and petitions for a dissolution of the Union

were sent to Congress, such threats were heard no more. The Southern States were thrown into consternation by the demand. They knew right well that the dissolution of the Union was the dissolution of slavery—that it could not be kept up without the support of the free States. It was the alliance of the North with the South, that gave the South the perpetuity to the abomination. The slaveholder could now follow his fugitive slave all over the Union; but if the Union were dissolved, Pompey and Caesar, the instant they crossed the boundary line, would become free men, and might defy their tattered taskmasters. (Applause.) He did not think, however, that it would be necessary to dissolve the Union. The Southern States, when they found the abolitionists determined, and that they had no choice but emancipation or dissolution, would say the time had come for the abolition of slavery, and let their slaves go free. (Applause.) Mr. JAMES WATSON inquired, in allusion to what had been said on the previous night, if a case had ever occurred, in which a British subject had been taken from under the British flag, and sold on the auction block. Mr. GARRISON said he was not aware of such a case, and should doubt if one had ever taken place; for he did not believe that any British captain would leave a fellow-subject in slavery. But that British subjects had been taken from under the British flag, and sold to pay for their imprisonment, was undeniably true; and when his friends present got their Parliamentary Report, they would see the facts. It was no matter whether British subjects had been actually sold into slavery; it was enough, and more than enough, that by the laws of America, they were treated as slaves. At the time that section of the legislature of South Carolina, it was made penal for any foreign power to send over a representative to make experiment of the right of the State to seize a British subject, (so we understand Mr. Garrison to say, but we are not certain that we clearly caught the purport of his words.) He was sure that if England were to pass a law, exposing Americans to similar treatment in this country, the United States would rise up, and in every direction there would be loud talking about Bunker's Hill and Lexington. (Laughter.) England would be admonished to repeal the law, or it would be accepted as a declaration of war. Was England less jealous than America, that she should her subjects exposed to seizure and sale, on their arrival in an American port? (Hear, hear.) Mr. THOMPSON was called upon to address the company, but said he had no intention to make a speech. He was never in the vein for speaking on the morning after a public meeting. At such seasons he felt, as the parson said, rather than as a speaker. (Laughter.) He was to say a few words—chiefly, as to the origin of the split among the abolitionists. In 1840, Mr. Garrison came over to this country as a delegate to the World's Anti-Slavery Convention. He was accompanied by other delegates—women of the world, as it went, was not worthy—the honor of their sex, the glory of their kind. Their names were included in his credentials; and he went without a day. But while no opposition was made to the admission of Mr. Garrison, his co-delegates were formally excluded by the votes of an overwhelming majority. Their credentials were trampled under foot, not only by men whose credentials were not a whit more valid, but by men who had no credentials at all—were self-styled abolitionists. Mr. Garrison, well, then, he refused to sit, unless his fellow-delegates were admitted also. To exclude them from the committee in London had been his unpolitic gesture. Calumny of all kinds had been directed against him, privately and officially, with an industry and perseverance, which would have been commendable in a better cause. In America as in England, there was a division on this question—viz, the admission of women—the right of women to take part in Anti-Slavery Councils. Among the abolitionists of the United States, there were women of the highest talents, and well known to the world. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance. They did not, like Dr. S. H. Cox, see any legal obstacle to the emancipation of women. They were self-willed, but proud. Lydia Maria Child (applause), whose name was a household word—Maria Weston Chapman—Lucretia Mott—Angelina and Sarah Grimké. The Grimké came into possession of the world by inheritance







